United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/671,261	09/28/2000	YUTAKA TAKEUCHI	106375	8216
25944 75	7590 05/26/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A	
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

an

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/671,261	TAKEUCHI, YUTAKA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [2	
b) L	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in re, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🖾	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-6</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other: ROBERY H. KIM
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation She t (PTOL-303) 09/671,261

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 6 and as such the amendments to the independent claims require further search and consideration by the Examiner to determine patentability of the currently claimed subject matter. Specifically, with regard to independent claim 1, Applicant has amended the claim to include "a part of an electrode width of an electrode strip within the unformed region of the protective layer being equal to an electrode width of an electrode strip on the protective layer within the formation region and enabling measurement of a gap of the electrodes." Such recitation in combination with Applicant's deletion of "an electrode width of an electrode strip in the unformed region being set to be wider than the electrode width of the electrode strip on a step portion" results in a new combination of elements requiring further search and consideration by the Examiner.